

Master Equipment Leasing Program for Transit Capital

2018 General Assembly Feasibility Study

Chris Smith
Director of Policy,
Communications,
and Legislative
Affairs

Legislative Mandate

- Investigate MELP option to finance statewide transit capital to:
 - Achieve cost savings through bulk purchases
 - Establish revolving loan fund
- Examine feasibility of existing MELP program
- Use TCPRAB findings to identify potential TTF revenue sources to capitalize lease payments
- Report to General Assembly and Governor by November 1



MELP Overview



- Master Equipment Leasing Program
- Empowered the Treasury Board to undertake credit purchase financing of personal property equipment needs of all state agencies and institutions.
- Payment of the purchase price is deferred through installment payments and includes interest payments

MELP Overview



- Treasury seeks line of credit from financial institution
- Installment purchase program
- Rates calculated against index + spread and reflect Commonwealth's AAA rating
- Rates fixed at time of closing
- Interest rates are tax exempt

Department of General Services

- Utilizes MELP for purchases of centralized vehicle fleet and equipment
- Most loans fixed at 2.5% rate
- 3, 5, 7, or 10 year terms
- Most often used to flatten needs gaps on annualized basis



Treasury Observations

- MELP may be used by state agencies only. Local governments and agencies ineligible
- Borrowers must pledge asset being financed
- Financing must be approved by Treasury Board
- Generally small lines of credit used by few agencies
- Approximately \$25 \$30m available annually.
- FY 2018 \$25 million available/ \$16m obligated
- Applicant needs/terms vary based upon available revenues



Initial Findings



- Existing MELP structure currently unable to finance transit capital assets
 - Prohibition on non-state entities
 - Impact to statewide debt capacity
 - Borrower requirement to pledge asset conflicts with FTA and State contingent interests.
- DRPT would need to create unique MELP-like structure for transit capital
 - Loan terms would need to be consistent with assets' useful life, e.g. 12 years for buses
 - DRPT could leverage TTF resources to secure debt on behalf of local transit agencies.

TSDAC Future Policy Considerations

 Do public agencies have need for a low-interest credit program?

 Would agencies be willing to authorize holding of vehicle title for term of a loan?

 What are short-term and long-term statewide transit capital needs post prioritization implementation?



Next Steps



- Assess feasibility of existing financing programs for local agencies and DRPT
 - Virginia Resources Authority
 - Repayment made by local agency
 - VTIB
 - Does not allow state backed debt
- Examine other revolving loan, credit programs for public transportation used in other states.
- Examine acceleration of future statewide transit capital needs under lending program scenarios
- Examine available funding for capitalization of program
- Develop model MELP program structure for Virginia transit capital financing



Master Equipment Leasing Program for Transit Capital

2018 General Assembly Feasibility Study

Chris Smith
Director of Policy,
Communications,
and Legislative
Affairs